UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,658	09/17/2003	Friedrich W. Kuehne	029364.00002	7719
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 04/18/200 LLP	EXAMINER		
	CTICUT AVENUE, N.	PERREIRA, MELISSA JEAN		
SUITE 400 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/049,658	KUEHNE, FRIEDRICH W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MELISSA PERREIRA	1618		

	MELISSA PERREIRA	1618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviteal (with appeal fee) in compliance w	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	date of the final rejectio	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	201100
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but see below.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Michael G. Hartley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618			

Claims 8,9,14,18-20 and 25 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8,9,14,18-20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuehne et al. (CA 1268714) in view of Kern et al. (US 5,712,097) as stated in the office action mailed 11/7/07.

Applicant asserts that although most pancreatic carcinomas are adenocarcinomas, the reverse is not true; not all adenocarcinomas are pancreatic cancers. In fact, adenocarcinoma does not refer to any particular organ or body site but is a type of cancer that evolves from a certain cell type found throughout the body and is a class of cancers that covers a broad array of cancers from different organs and body sites.

Applicant asserts that Wikipedia lists several types of cancers as adenocarcinomas, including breast, colon, lung, prostate, stomach, pancreas, cervix, vagina, urachus that involve different tissues, different prognoses and different treatments. Thus, Kuehne et al. teaching treating adenocarcinomas with a chlorite solution would not have given the skilled artisan an expectation of success in treating any particular type of cancer, including pancreatic cancer, with chlorite. Applicant also asserts that the term "adenocarcinoma" would lead one to pancreatic cancer.

Kuehne et al. teaches treating adenocarcinomas with a chlorite solution which includes any adenocarcinoma. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to treat any adenocarcinoma, including pancreatic cancer with a chlorite solution and generate predictable results, such as providing treatment. Although not all adenocarcinomas are pancreatic cancers, one would be motivated to try/utlize a chlorite solution for the treatment of any adenocarcinoma and since most pancreatic cancers are adenocarcinomas it would be predictable that a chlorite solution would provide treatment.